arXiv:1611.02354v2 [cs.IT] 8 Dec 2016

ON A CONDITION EQUIVALENT TO THE MAXIMUM DISTANCE SEPARABLE CONJECTURE

JEFFREY SUN

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

STEVEN DAMELIN

Mathematical Reviews, The American Mathematical Society

DANIEL KAISER

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

Abstract. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_q$ the vector space of functions from a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ to itself, which can be represented as the space $\mathcal{P}_q := \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^q - x)$ of polynomial functions. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_q$ the set of polynomials that are either the zero polynomial, or have at most n distinct roots in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Given two subspaces Y, Z of $\mathcal{P}_q$, we denote by $\langle Y, Z\rangle$ their span. We prove that the following are equivalent.

- For a fixed pair of integers (q, k) satisfying q is a prime power, $2 \leq k \leq q$ and either:
  - q is odd
  - q is even and $k \notin \{3, q-1\}$.

  Then there do not exist distinct subspaces Y and Z of $\mathcal{P}_q$ such that:
  - $dim(\langle Y, Z\rangle) = k$
  - $dim(Y) = dim(Z) = k - 1$.
  - $\langle Y, Z\rangle \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-1}$
  - $Y, Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-2}$
  - $Y \cap Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-3}$.
- The MDS conjecture is true for the given (q, k).

Keywords: Linear codes, finite fields, Maximum Distance Separable Conjecture, polynomials.
MSC Classifications: 94B05, 05B35, 12Y05

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, q will be a prime power, $\mathbb{F}_q$ will be the finite field of order q, k will be an integer with $2 \leq k \leq q$, all matrices will be over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and "linear combination" will mean "nontrivial $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear combination".

Definition 1.1. Let $2 \leq k \leq q$

A maximum distance separable (MDS) code M is a $k \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that every set of k columns of M is linearly independent.

E-mail addresses: jeffjeff@umich.edu, damelin@umich.edu, dankai@umich.edu.

Support from and thanks to the National Science Foundation under grants 0901145, 1160720, and 1104696; the American Mathematical Society; the Department of Mathematics of the University of Michigan; and the Undergraduate Research Opportunity Program at the University of Michigan.

Conjecture 1.2 (MDS Conjecture)**.** *The maximum width n of such a code is $q+1$, unless q is even and $k \in \{3, q-1\}$, in which case the maximum width is $q+2$.*

2. Some Previous Results

Where p is the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$, the MDS conjecture is known to hold when:

- $k < \frac{\sqrt{q}}{4}, q$ odd. [Segre] (1967)
- $k \leq \sqrt{q}, q$ even. [Segre] (1967)
- $k < \sqrt{pq}$. [Voloch] (1991)
- $q = p^{2h+1}$. [Voloch] (1991)
- $k < \frac{\sqrt{q}}{4}$. [Hirschfeld-Korchmaros] (1966)
- $q > p^{2h}$, $p > 5$. [Hirschfeld-Korchmaros] (1966)

This list is taken from [Ball] (2012). One recent result is particularly strong.

Theorem 2.1 (Ball (2012))**.** *The MDS Conjecture is true whenever $k \leq 2p-2$.*

3. Main Theorem

We require some definitions.

Definition 3.1. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_q$ the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^q - x)$ of polynomial functions over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Observe that $\mathcal{P}_q$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Recall that every function from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to itself is realized by some polynomial function in $\mathcal{P}_q$.

Definition 3.2. For every non-negative integer n, we define the subset $\mathcal{O}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_q$ as the set of polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_q$ that are either the zero polynomial, or have at most n distinct roots in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Note that if $n \geq q$, then $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{P}_q$. In this paper we prove the following.

Theorem 3.3. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) *Let k, q be integers, with q a prime power and $2 \leq k \leq q$. Suppose that either:*
   - *q is odd*
   - *q is even and $k \notin \{3, q-1\}$.*

   Then there do not exist distinct subspaces Y and Z of $\mathcal{P}_q$ such that:
   - *$dim(\langle Y, Z\rangle) = k$.*
   - *$dim(Y) = dim(Z) = k-1$.*
   - *$\langle Y, Z\rangle \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-1}$*
   - *$Y, Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-2}$*
   - *$Y \cap Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-3}$.*

(2) *The MDS conjecture is true for the given (q, k).*

4. Proof of Theorem 3.3

We proceed by proving the following.

Theorem 4.1. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) *Let k, q be integers, with q a prime power and $2 \leq k \leq q$. Suppose that either:*
   - *q odd*
   - *q even and $k \notin \{3, q-1\}$.*

   The MDS Conjecture is true for given (q, k).

   That is, for the given (q, k), $q+1$ is the maximum width n of a $k \times n$ matrix such that every set of k columns of M is linearly independent.

(2) *For k, q as in (1), let M' be a $k \times (q+2)$ matrix. Then some (nontrivial $\mathbb{F}_q$-)linear combination of the rows of M' has at least k zero entries.*
(3) *For k, q as in (1), let M' be a $k \times (q+2)$ matrix such that the first two columns of M' are $[1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$ and $[0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$, respectively. Then some linear combination of the rows of M' has at least k zero entries.*
(4) *For k, q as in (1), there do not exist distinct subspaces Y and Z of $\mathcal{P}_q$ such that*
   - *$dim(\langle Y, Z\rangle) = k$.*
   - *$dim(Y) = dim(Z) = k - 1$.*
   - *$\langle Y, Z\rangle \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-1}$*
   - *$Y \cup Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-2}$*
   - *$Y \cap Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-3}$.*

Proof. We proceed by showing that each statement is equivalent to the next.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2)**.** The statement (1) is that $q+1$ is the maximum width n of a $k \times n$ matrix M, such that every set of k columns of M is linearly independent. This is equivalent to the statement (A), defined to be, that there is no $k \times (q+2)$ matrix M' such that every set of k columns of M' is linearly independent. Let M' be a $k \times (q+2)$ matrix. Then (A) is equivalent to the statement (B), defined to be that some k columns of M' form a $k \times k$ submatrix that is singular.

Let A be a $k \times k$ submatrix of M'. Then let $\vec{r}_i$ denote the i^{th} row of M' and let $\vec{r}_{i,A}$ denote the i^{th} row of A. Then each $\vec{r}_{\ell,A}$ consists of the entries of $\vec{r}_\ell$ within the submatrix A.

The condition (B) is that M' has some $k \times k$ submatrix A that is singular. Equivalently, (C), defined to be that for some $k \times k$ submatrix A of M', and some set a_i of coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$, not all zero, that $\sum_i a_i \vec{r}_{i,A} = \vec{0}$. But to say that $\sum_i a_i \vec{r}_{i,A} = \vec{0}$ is to say that the j-th entry $(\sum_i a_i \vec{r}_i)_j$ of the row vector $\sum_i a_i \vec{r}_i$ is zero whenever the j-th column of M is part of the submatrix A. Therefore, (C) is equivalent to the statement (D), defined to be that there exists a set $\mathcal{A}$ of k columns of M', and a linear combination $\vec{r} = \sum_i a_i \vec{r}_i$ of the rows of M', such that the entry of $\vec{r}$ at each column in $\mathcal{A}$ is zero. That is, (2) that for some linear combination $\vec{r} = \sum_i a_i \vec{r}_i$ of the rows of M', $\vec{r}$ has at least k zero entries.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3)**.** First, we separate the right $k \times q$ submatrix T of M' from the two leftmost columns, which we call $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{w}$. We can reduce to the case where $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{w}$ are linearly independent, because if they are linearly dependent, then any $k \times k$ submatrix of M' containing $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{w}$ is singular. Such a submatrix will exist because $k \leq q < q+2$. Furthermore, we can reduce to the case where the rows of T are linearly independent. If the rows of T are linearly dependent, then there exists a linear combination of the rows with q zeroes.

$$M' = \underset{k\times(q+2)}{\left[\vec{v} \quad \vec{w} \quad | \quad T \quad\right]}$$

The property that some $k \times k$ submatrix is singular is unaffected by left-multiplication by an invertible $k \times k$ matrix. This is because left-multiplication by an invertible $k \times k$ matrix takes invertible $k \times k$ submatrices to invertible $k \times k$ submatrices, and singular $k \times k$ submatrices to singular $k \times k$ submatrices. We can therefore left-multiply by some invertible matrix that takes $\vec{v}$ to $[1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$ and $\vec{w}$ to $[0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$. Such a matrix exists due to the assumption that $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{w}$ are assumed to be linearly independent. We perform such a left-multiplication on M', and henceforth assume that M' is of the above form, with $\vec{v} = [1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$ and $\vec{w} = [0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$.

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4)**.** Suppose M' is a matrix of the form:

$$M' = \underset{k\times(q+2)}{\left[\vec{v} \quad \vec{w} \quad | \quad T \quad\right]}$$

with $\vec{v} = [1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$ and $\vec{w} = [0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$. Let $\vec{r_i}$ denote the i^{th} row of M' and $\vec{t_i}$ denote the i^{th} row of the submatrix T. Observe that this implies that each $\vec{r_i}$ is of the form $[\delta_{1,i}, \delta_{2,i} | \vec{t_i}]$, where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

The condition (3) is now that without any further conditions on M', there must be a linear combination $\vec{r} = \sum_i a_i \vec{r_i}$ of the rows $\vec{r_i}$ of M' that has k zero entries.

Let $\vec{r} = \sum_i a_i \vec{r_i}$, and let $\vec{t} = \sum_i a_i \vec{t_i}$, which is $\vec{r}$ with the first two entries dropped. Then because $\vec{v} = [1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$ and $\vec{w} = [0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t$, we have $(\vec{r})_1 = a_1$, and $(\vec{r})_2 = a_2$, where $(\vec{r})_j$ denotes the j^{th} entry of $\vec{r}$. What is relevant is that $(\vec{r})_1 = 0$ if and only if $a_1 = 0$, and $(\vec{r})_2 = 0$ if and only if $a_2 = 0$.

Therefore, $\vec{r}$ has at most $k-1$ zero entries if and only if:

- $\vec{t}$ has at most $k-1$ zero entries.
- $\vec{t}$ has at most $k-2$ zero entries if either $a_1 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$.
- $\vec{t}$ has at most $k-3$ zero entries if $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.

The condition (3) then becomes (E), defined to be that for some linear combination $\vec{r_0} = \sum_i a_i \vec{r_i}$, and $\vec{t_0} = \sum_i a_i \vec{t_i}$, either

- $\vec{t_0}$ has at least k zero entries, or
- $\vec{t_0}$ has at least $k-1$ zero entries if either $a_1 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$, or
- $\vec{t_0}$ has at least $k-2$ zero entries if $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.

We proceed by identifying the $\vec{t_i}$ with elements of $\mathcal{P}_q$ in the following way. We identify columns j of T with elements α_j of $\mathbb{F}_q$, such that $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ if $i \neq j$. Then we identify each row $\vec{t_i}$ with the unique function f_i in $\mathcal{P}_q$ defined by $f_i(\alpha_j) = (\vec{t_i})_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q$.

Then the linear combinations of the $\vec{t_i}$ are precisely the elements of the k-plane in $\mathcal{P}_q$ spanned by the f_i. Furthermore, an entry $(\vec{t_i})_j$ is zero if and only if $f_i(\alpha_j)$ is zero. We then translate the above condition.

Let $\vec{r} = \sum_i a_i \vec{r_i}$ and $f = \sum_i a_i f_i$. Then $\vec{r}$ has at most $k-1$ zero entries if and only if:

- f has at most $k-1$ zeroes.
- f has at most $k-2$ zeroes if either $a_1 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$.
- f has at most $k-3$ zeroes if $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.

The condition (E) then becomes (F), defined to be that for some linear combination $\vec{r_0} = \sum_i a_i \vec{r_i}$, and $f_0 = \sum_i a_i f_i$, either

- f_0 has at least k zeroes.
- f_0 has at least $k-1$ zeroes if either $a_1 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$.
- f_0 has at least $k-2$ zeroes if $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.

Let Y_T and Z_T be the planes $Y_T = \langle \{f_i | i \neq 1\} \rangle$ and $Z_T = \langle \{f_i | i \neq 2\} \rangle$. Y_T and Z_T are $(k-1)$-planes because the rows of T are linearly independent, and each of Y_T and Z_T is generated by $k-1$ rows. Similarly, $\langle Y_T, Z_T \rangle$ is a k-plane.

Then (F) is equivalent to (G), defined to be that either

- $\langle Y_T, Z_T \rangle \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{k-1}$, or
- $Y_T \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{k-2}$, or
- $Z_T \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{k-2}$, or
- $Y_T \cap Z_T \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{k-3}$.

It remains to show that any subspaces Y_0 and Z_0 satisfying the conditions

- $dim(\langle Y_0, Z_0 \rangle) = k$ and
- $dim(Y_0) = dim(Z_0) = k-1$

can be constructed in this way, as $Y_0 = Y_T$, and $Z_0 = Z_T$, for some $k \times q$ matrix T.

Fix such subspaces Y_0 and Z_0. Recall that

$$dim(Y_0) + dim(Z_0) = dim(Y_0 \cap Z_0) + dim(\langle Y_0, Z_0 \rangle),$$

that

$$dim(Y_0) = dim(Z_0) = k - 1,$$

and that

$$dim(\langle Y_0, Z_0\rangle) = k.$$

Thus,

$$2k - 2 = dim(Y_0 \cap Z_0) + k,$$

so that

$$dim(Y_0 \cap Z_0) = k - 2.$$

Thus we can find a set $\mathcal{N}$ of $k-2$ linearly independent vectors that span $Y_0 \cap Z_0$. Then we can find a vector $\vec{y} \in Y_0$ and $\vec{z} \in Z_0$, such that $\langle \vec{y}, \mathcal{N}\rangle = Y_0$, and $\langle \vec{z}, \mathcal{N}\rangle = Z_0$. Then, viewing $\mathcal{N}$ as a $q \times k-2$ matrix N, we set

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z} & \vec{y} & | & \mathcal{N} & \end{bmatrix}^t$$

so that $Y_0 = Y_T$ and $Z_0 = Z_T$.

Then since any subspaces Y and Z of $\mathcal{P}_q$ satisfying $dim(\langle Y_0, Z_0\rangle) = k$ and $dim(Y_0) = dim(Z_0) = k-1$ can be expressed as Y_T and Z_T for some T, (G) is equivalent to the statement (H), defined to be that for any such subspaces Y and Z, we must have either

- $\langle Y, Z\rangle \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{k-1}$, or
- $Y \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{k-2}$, or
- $Z \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{k-2}$, or
- $Y \cap Z \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{k-3}$.

That is, (4) that we cannot have subspaces Y and Z of $\mathcal{P}_q$ satisfying:

- $dim(\langle Y, Z\rangle) = k$
- $dim(Y) = dim(Z) = k-1$.
- $\langle Y, Z\rangle \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-1}$
- $Y, Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-2}$
- $Y \cap Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{k-3}$.

□

Note 4.2. The treatment of $\mathcal{P}_q$ as a space of polynomials is not strictly necessary. It is sufficient to treat it simply as the vector space of functions from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to itself.

References

[1] Ball, Simeon; De Beule, Jan On sets of vectors of a finite vector space in which every subset of basis size is a basis II. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 65 (2012), no. 1-2, 5–14, arXiv:1201.5994.

[2] Lidl, R., & Niderreiter, H. (1986). *Finite Fields*. New York, New York: Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge.

[3] Mullen, G. L., & Mummert, C. (2007). *Finite Fields and Applications*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.

[4] Mullen, G. L., & Panario, D. (2013). *Handbook of Finite Fields*. CRC Press.

[5] Seroussi, Gadiel, & Ron M. Roth. "On MDS extensions of generalized Reed-Solomon codes." *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions* on 32.3 (1986): 349-354.